



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/032,144 12/20/2001		12/20/2001	Patrice Roussel	10559-644001 / P12488	3547		
20985	7590	01/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			MEONSKE, TONIA L				
P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2181			
				DATE MAILED: 01/20/2000	DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/032,144	ROUSSEL, PATRICE			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Tonia L. Meonske	2181			

	Tonia L. Meonske		2181					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing replies: (1) an antice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of mendment, aff appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS	from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresp hortened statutory peri than three months afte	oonding amount iod for reply origi	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 4	41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS		. 6 60	. 90 4 fo 4 4 fo					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the context of the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (so filed after a filed afte	nsideration and/or se			ecause				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		y materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding numb	er of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	21 See attached No	tice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment	PTOL-3241				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ace of Non-Co	inpliant Amendment	(1 1 OL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		in a senarate	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii sabiiiilea	iii a soparato,	uniory mod amoname	int canceling the				
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [will not be entere	ed, or b) 🔲 wil	I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi	rided below or apper	nded.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, t	but prior to the	date of filing a brief.	will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	Adama NOT alaas Ab	!!!						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	•			ice decause:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P10/SB/08 of P10-	1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13.								
1								
Jeans Tra			HENRY W L	TCAI				
/ 0/ -	1/17/	^/	TENNI W. A.	IJAI				
1 lengtra		0	<u>PRIMARY EXA</u>	MINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 011206

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

On Pages 3-6, Applicant argues in essence:

"At no point does Sidwell describe that any of the zip, unzip or flip instructions cause any of the bits placed into the destination register to be duplicated."

However, Sidwell has taught a zip instruction duplicating bits placed in a destination register. Figure 17 shows the V2 bits are replicated in a destination memory via a zip instruction. Merriam-Webster's Online Dictionary defines replicate as duplicate. Therefore, Sidwell has in fact taught a zip instruction duplicating, or replicating, bits placed in the destination register. The V2 bits placed in the destination register are duplicated via the zip2n4v2p instruction. Therefore this argument is moot.